1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOSEPH C. SPENCER, 1:01-cv-06066-OWW-SMS-P 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS** (Doc. 42) 13 vs. ORDER DISMISSING ACTION 14 LAWHORN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Joseph C. Spencer ("plaintiff") is proceeding pro 18 se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The 19 matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant 20 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302. 21 On November 3, 2005, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings 22 and Recommendations herein which was served on plaintiff and 23 which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the 24 Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within thirty (30) 25 days. To date, plaintiff has not filed objections to the 26 Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations. 27 // 28

## Case 1:01-cv-06066-OWW-SMS Document 43 Filed 12/20/05 Page 2 of 2

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 73-305, this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Findings and Recommendations, filed November 3,
  is ADOPTED IN FULL; and,
- 2. This action is DISMISSED, without prejudice, for plaintiff's failure to state a claim against defendant Madding, and for failure to exhaust the claim against defendant Lawhorn.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:December 19, 2005/s/ Oliver W. Wangeremm0d6UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE